



Resource Document 08 (2011)

WELFARE OF ANAESTHETISTS SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP

MENTORING AND PEER SUPPORT PROGRAMS

INTRODUCTION

Mentoring is an alliance of two people that creates a space for dialogue, resulting in reflection, action, and learning for both"

Mentor and Peer Support programs are widespread in many organisations, including several medical bodies.

The term mentor is defined as “a wise or trusted advisor or guide”; the word is derived from the Odyssey of Homer – Mentor was the friend to whom Odysseus/Ulysses entrusted his son while he was absent for the twenty years of the Trojan War and the long journey home.

THE ROLE OF THE MENTOR

Anaesthetists and trainees may need advice, guidance or support on a wide range of issues, eg personal and professional support, clinical problems, relationships with colleagues, critical incidents and career options.

The mentor can provide a listening ear, feedback, guidance, and advice (if solicited) in many areas, as well as acting as a role model, teacher, resource facilitator and coach.

The role of the mentor is not to make decisions for the mentee, but to promote reflection to facilitate his or her decisions – which remain the mentee’s responsibility. Sometimes the mentee will just need to “vent”, to get a concerning issue “off his or her chest”.

Resolution of mentees’ issues may be achieved with help from their mentors, but there are also other experienced sources available, as well as peers, family, friends, and other professionals.

In each situation, advice and resolution of issues are more readily facilitated when professional and personal relationships have already been established.

In the case of trainees, any mentor system developed must not be used for assessment or performance monitoring. Supervisors of training and directors should not act as mentors for trainees with whom they are currently involved. The expertise and experience of anaesthetists outside the department may be utilised.

SYSTEMS

Mentoring is a voluntary relationship, typically between an experienced person and a more junior colleague.



Peer support, or “buddy” systems, are typically between colleagues of more similar age and experience. In the former system, support is provided by one or more colleagues; in the latter, two peers provide each other with mutual support. Each system has its place, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Support programs may be developed within and/or outside the department for useful, timely and appropriate support. These systems are best organised at a local level in response to local needs.

Mentor System – usually the mentor is more senior and the mentee junior.

Peer Support – groups of peers, such as examination study groups or groups of anaesthetists.

Buddy System – as in the diving industry: “I’ll watch your back and you’ll watch mine”.

This relationship may include general support, discussion of reported adverse comments, and matters of a personal or professional nature.

PRINCIPLES

- Informal programs can work well, and should be encouraged in groups and departments.
- Any formal mentor system must not replace professional and other systems of support for mentees facing significant difficulties.
- A formal program should be voluntary and confidential. However compulsory systems will ensure that those who most need mentoring don’t deselect themselves.
- It is preferable that mentees should select their own mentors. The system must ensure that popular mentors are not overloaded. Alternatively, mentor and mentee could be “matched” by an experienced supervisor.
- There must be confidentiality and trust within the mentoring relationship, in order to protect the mentee’s privacy and promote trust between the parties.
- Provision must be made for the termination of unproductive or damaging relationships, and also for dealing with inappropriate advice.

PROCESS

To establish a formal Mentoring Program(s)

- Establish local need by investigating the advantages and disadvantages of a mentoring program, focussing on its role in supporting mentees, role modelling, and teaching professionalism. This issue could be presented at a departmental meeting, by circulating information or both.
- Encourage discussion about the need for mentors and mentees to address any stressful issues relating to work and life outside work.
- Appoint a coordinator – someone with an established interest in doctors’ health and/or mentoring.
- The program may need to be advertised within the department. You might institute a choice of mentoring, peer support, or the buddy system, or a combination of all three.
- Identify those senior colleagues who are willing to act as support personnel for trainees or other colleagues.
- Both peers, mentors, and mentees, require input into the choice of the individual with whom they are to establish a relationship.
- Procedures must be in place to ensure that particular members of staff are not overburdened by the mentoring role, for example by limiting the number of mentees allowed for any one mentor.
- Training could be provided for participants; this could initially comprise a discussion with the coordinator about the role, with pitfalls highlighted.



- The department should provide regular encouragement and information for the program, eg with case presentations, to stimulate discussion and prevent stagnation.
- The initial meeting should be scheduled for about one hour; it will allow mentor and mentee to get to know each other, in particular the mentee's personal and professional circumstances. Discussion should occur about what each person wishes to obtain from the mentoring relationship. The confidentiality of the relationship must be established.
- Frequent and regular meetings between participants are vital to establish a relationship of trust, so that the 'difficult' subjects can be discussed openly. Ad hoc meetings may also be convened if there are urgent matters to discuss
- There should be a trial period of 3 months. Provision must be made for the termination of unproductive, inappropriate, unhelpful, or damaging relationships, and also for dealing with inappropriate advice.
- Evaluation and monitoring of the program(s) are necessary to justify continued support.
- Current programs can run well with the support of the departmental mentoring coordinator and committee. However you may need to enlist the support and involvement of senior and middle management, staff counsellors, education support units and quality management within the hospital to ensure adequate resources.
- Ongoing financial resources of the support program(s) must be considered. These include training for, and monitoring of, the scheme(s).

Further reading

Budderberg-Fischer B, Vetsch E, Mattanza G.

2004. Career support in medicine: experiences with a mentoring program for junior physicians at university hospital. *Psychosoc Med* . 1:Doc04 (p1-11)

Budderberg-Fischer B, Herta KD

2006 . Formal mentoring programmes for medical students and doctors - a review of the Medline literature. *Med Teach* 28(3):248-57

Chur-Hansen A , McLean S 2006. On being a supervisor: the importance of feedback and how to give it.

Australasian Psychiatry 14(1_): 67-71

Forsyth KD. (Editorial)

2009 Critical Importance of effective supervision in postgraduate medical education: supporting trainees more effectively will benefit doctors and patients. *MJA* 191(4)(196-197).

Hope CT, Lancashire W, Fassett R.

2009 Clinical supervision by consultants in teaching hospitals. *MJA* 191(4):220-222

Lake FR, Ryan G.

2006 Teaching on the run tips 13: being a good supervisor-preventing problems. *MJA* 184(8):414-415

Morton-Cooper A, Palmer A.

2000. *Mentoring, Preceptorship and Clinical Supervision*. Blackwell Science

Stern DT, Papadakis M.

2006 The Developing Physician – Becoming a Professional. *N Eng J Med* 355: 1794-1799

M. Steven A, Oxley J, Fleming WG.



2008 Mentoring for NHS doctors: perceived benefits across the personal-professional interface. J R Soc Med 101:552-557

Taherian K, Shekarchian M.

2008 Mentoring for doctors. Do its benefits outweigh its disadvantages? Medical Teacher 30:30 e95-e99

Zachary LJ. 2000, The Mentor's Guide: Facilitating Effective Learning: Jossey-Bass

Older references which may be useful

AAGBI 1997 Stress in Anaesthetists. Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland,

AMAQ/University of Queensland/Queensland Health. 1998 QMEC Mentor Guide.

Hawe P, Degeling D, Hall J. 1990 Needs Assessment: What issue should your programme address? In: Evaluating Health Promotion - A Health Worker's Guide. MacLennan and Petty, Sydney

Jackson SH. 1999 The role of stress in anaesthetists' health and well-being. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand;43:583-602.

Justins DM. 1999 An enquiry into mentoring. RCA newsletter May, Issue No. 46.

Kirsling RA, Kochar MS.

1990 Mentors in Graduate Medical Education at the Medical College of Wisconsin. Acad Med 65:272-274.

Ricer RE, Fox BC, Miller KE.

1995 Mentoring for Medical Students Interested in Family Practice. Fam Med 27:360-365.

Rolfe-Flett A. 1995 The Mentoring Workbook (for mentees). Synergetic Management, Kincumber South (NSW)

Rolfe-Flett A. 1995 Developing your mentoring skills. Synergetic Management, Kincumber South (NSW)

SCOPME. 1998 An enquiry into mentoring: supporting doctors and dentists at work. Standing Committee on Postgraduate Medical Education, London.

Spencer C. 1996 Mentoring Made Easy: A Practical Guide for Managers. NSW Government Publication Sydney

This Resource Document has been prepared in good faith and having regard to general circumstances and is intended for information only. It is entirely the responsibility of the practitioner as to the manner in which s/he follows this document, having express regard to the circumstances of each case, and in the application of this document in each case.

The information contained in this document is not intended to constitute specific medical or other professional advice. The College and Societies, their officers and employees, take no responsibility in relation to the application of use of this Resource Document in any particular circumstance.

The Resource Documents have been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of their preparation. They are reviewed from time to time, and it is the responsibility of the practitioner to ensure that s/he has obtained the



current version. The practitioner should therefore have regard to any information, research or material which may have been published or become available subsequently.

Whilst the Welfare of Anaesthetists Special Interest Group endeavours to ensure that Resource Documents are as current as possible at the time of their preparation, it takes no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material which may have become available subsequently.

*Promulgated: 1996
Date of current document: 2011*

© This document is copyright; if it is reproduced in whole or in part, due acknowledgement is to be given.

ACECC is a joint initiative of the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists,
the Australian Society of Anaesthetists and the New Zealand Society of Anaesthetists.

ANZCA HOUSE 630 ST KILDA ROAD MELBOURNE VIC 3004
Telephone: (03) 9510 6299 Facsimile: (03) 9510 6786